1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA James R. Williams (SBN 271253) Greta S. Hansen (SBN 251471) Raphael Rajendra (SBN 255096) Marcelo Quinoñes (SBN 279132) Karun Tilak (SBN 323939) 70 West Hedding Street East Wing, 9th Floor San José, California 95110 Tele: (408) 299-5900; Fax: (408) 292-7240 Attorneys for County of Santa Clara, California (Additional Counsel for Amici Curiae Listed at Educational Counsel for Amici Curiae Listed		
.0	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
.1	NORTHERN DISTRIC		IA
.2	SAN JOSE	DIVISION	
.3	NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE; LEAGUE OF	Case No. 20-cv-57	00 I HV
.5	WOMEN VOTERS; BLACK ALLIANCE FOR JUST IMMIGRATION; HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS; KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON;		I CURIAE COUNTY OF
.6	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; CITY OF SALINAS, CALIFORNIA; CITY OF	LOCAL GOVER	
.7	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA; RODNEY ELLIS; and ADRIAN GARCIA,	OFFICIALS IN S PLAINTIFFS' M	OTION FOR
.8	, mar 12 1 a. 1. 31 11 (31 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	PRELIMINARY	INJUNCTION
.9 20	Plaintiffs, v.	Hearing Date: Time: Judge:	September 17, 2020 1:30 pm Hon. Lucy H. Koh
21	WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., in his official capacity	Place:	San José Courthouse Courtroom 8 – 4th Floor
22	as Secretary of Commerce; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; STEVEN DILLINGHAM, in	Trial Date:	Not set
23	his official capacity as Director of the U.S. Census Bureau; and U.S. CENSUS BUREAU,		
25	Defendants.		
26 27		L	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

_	
')	
_	•

1

_	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE			
INTRODUCTION & SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT			
ARGUMENT4			
I.	Accur	ate Census Data is the Foundation for Critical Government Functions	4
	A.	Local Governments Depend on Accurate Census Data for Life- Saving Planning and Services	4
	B.	Local Governments Rely on Census-Based Funding to Provide Vital Social Safety-Net Services	9
	C.	Local Governments Need Accurate Census Data to Ensure Electoral Districts Advance Principles of Representative Democracy	1
II.	Effort	dants' Decision to Slash the Time for Critical Census Follow-Up s Will Cause a Differential Undercount and Undermine Census Data y	2
CONCLUSIO	ON		8

1 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 2 Cases 3 Avery v. Midland Cty., Tex., 4 Brown v. Thomson, 5 City of San Jose v. Trump, 6 Dep't of Commerce v. New York. 7 Evenwel v. Abbott, 8 Reynolds v. Sims, 9 State of California v. Trump, 10 Utah v. Evans, 11 Wisconsin v. City of New York, 12 13 **Other Authorities** 14 A. Wolkin et al., 15 Reducing Public Health Risk During Disasters: Identifying Social Vulnerabilities, 12 J. 16 America Counts Staff. From COVID-19 to Hurricanes, Census Surveys Help Areas Prepare and Recover, U.S. Census Bureau (Apr. 9, 2020) 6 17 B. Flanagan et al., A Social Vulnerability Index for Disaster Management, 8(1) J. Homeland Sec. & 18 19 California Census Office, 20 California Census Office. 21 Centers for Disease Control, County of Santa Clara District Attorney's Office, 22 County of Santa Clara Housing Element Update 23 County of Santa Clara Public Health Department, 24 25 LAUSD. 26 Leonardo Castañeda and David Debolt. Death reports show coronavirus hits San Jose's poor, Latino neighborhoods hardest, San 27 28 BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA AND 19 ADDITIONAL LOCAL

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA AND 19 ADDITIONAL LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND OFFICIALS ISO PLS.' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION Case No. 20-cv-5799-LHK

Case 5:20-cv-05799-LHK Document 54 Filed 08/31/20 Page 4 of 25

Los Angeles Unified School District, 2018 Developer Fee Justification Study (Mar. 2018)
M. Hotchkiss & J. Phelan,
U.S. Census Bureau, Uses of Census Bureau Data in Federal Funds Distribution at 8
(Sept. 2017)9
Migration Policy Institute,
State and County Estimates of Unauthorized Immigrants (Sep. 26, 2016)
New Americans in Portland, OR,
New American Economy (2020)
New York City Environment & Health Data Portal,
Heat Vulnerability Index6
NYC Dep't of City Planning,
American Community Survey Data Demographic Profile and Social Profiles (2018) 3
NYC Dep't of City Planning,
Weekly Reports on NYC 2020 Census Self-Response
NYC Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, State of Our Immigrant City: 2019
Portland Housing Bureau,
State of Housing in Portland (2019)
Rich Ibarra,
Stockton Named the Most Racially Diverse City in America, Capital Public Radio (Jan. 28,
2020)
Salvo, J,
"Establishing Priorities for the Privacy Budget: The Case for Good Age Data, Emergency
Preparedness and the 2010 Census Demonstration Product," Presentation at the National
Academy of Sciences Workshop on 2020 Census Data Products: Data Needs and Privacy
Considerations, December, 2019, 6
Santa Clara County Community Wildfire Plan
at 81 (Aug. 2016)6
Sonya Rastogi and Amy O'Hara,
2010 Census Match Study, U.S. Census Bureau at 47 (Nov. 16, 2012)
Thomas Mule, U.S. Census Bureau,
DSSD 2010 Census Coverage Measurement Memorandum Series 2010-G-01 at 30, Table
21 (May 22, 2012)
U.S. Census Bureau QuickFacts: Dallas, Texas
US Cancus Ruranu
U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 Census Operational Plan, at 212 (Dec. 2018)
U.S. Census Bureau,
QuickFacts: Santa Clara County, California
U.S. Census Bureau,
Response Rates
U.S. Dep't of Ag.,
About WIC-How WIC Helps (Nov. 18, 2013)9
<u>- iii - </u>
BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA AND 19 ADDITIONAL LOCAL

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA AND 19 ADDITIONAL LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND OFFICIALS ISO PLS.' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION Case No. 20-cv-5799-LHK

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE¹

Amici Curiae are diverse local jurisdictions that collectively represent more than 25 million residents.² For at least a decade, Amici will be profoundly and negatively affected by the differential undercount and compromised data quality resulting from the decision by the Department of Commerce and Census Bureau ("Defendants") to cut short 2020 Census operations. As Plaintiffs discuss in their Complaint and Motion for Preliminary Injunction, the results of the current census will be used to assign political representatives and will dictate the allocation of federal funding to state and local governments. Amici submit this brief both to highlight local governments' interests in such matters and to show that their interests in complete and accurate census data extend well beyond those matters.

Amici rely on accurate decennial census data to perform a range of critical government functions. Like local governments across this country, Amici have sole or primary responsibility for protecting the public health and safety of their residents. Local governments plan for and respond in disaster and emergency situations; operate safety-net healthcare facilities; monitor, identify, and respond to emerging epidemics; provide vaccinations, nutrition, substance abuse treatment, and mental health services; investigate and prosecute crime; and perform many other basic governmental functions critical to the safety and well-being of the entire population.

Census data underpins many of these functions. From responding to the COVID-19 pandemic and determining where to send first responders during wildfire and hurricane evacuations, to deciding where to build schools and locate services for the elderly, accurate census data is the cornerstone of planning and operations that both shape our communities' day-to-day experiences and save lives in crises. In addition, local governments rely on census-based federal

¹ The Court granted leave to file amicus briefs on August 26, 2020. ECF No. 45.

² Amici are: the County of Santa Clara, CA; the County of Marin, CA; the County of Monterey, CA; the County of San Mateo, CA; Cook County, IL; the County of Bucks, PA; the County of Delaware, PA; the County of Montgomery, PA; the City of Oakland, CA; the City of Sacramento, CA; the City of Santa Cruz, CA; the City of Boston, MA; the City of Baltimore, MD; the City of New York, NY; the City of Portland, OR; the City of Austin, TX; the City of Dallas, TX; the City of Seattle, WA; the Los Angeles Unified School District; and City of Stockton, CA Mayor Michael Tubbs.

funding streams to provide many essential services, from assistance to crime victims to foster care and nutritional support for low-income children. Census data also forms the basis for not just federal but also state and local redistricting, including in many of Amici's jurisdictions, and accurate data is essential to ensuring that government is truly representative.

Defendants' August 3, 2020 decision to cut short 2020 Census operations in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic will undermine the census in at least two key ways: first, it will substantially exacerbate the problem of more individuals from hard-to-count groups going uncounted as compared to the general population, i.e., a differential undercount; and second, it will compromise the quality of census data produced about local populations. Among the Census field operations that will be affected by Defendants' decision is the critical Non-Response Follow Up ("NRFU") operation, which is specifically designed to enumerate populations that the Census Bureau has long struggled to count—including racial and ethnic minorities, non-English speakers, and undocumented persons. By reducing the time for the Census Bureau to enumerate these individuals in NRFU, Defendants' decision makes it significantly more likely that members of these communities will go uncounted altogether. And to the extent these populations' *numbers* are captured through the secondary means available to the Census Bureau, the resulting demographic information about local populations—on which local, state, and federal governments rely to fulfill a wide range of responsibilities—will be of significantly lower quality.

Amici face a direct and significant risk that Defendants' decision will weaken Amici's public safety and essential service operations because they have substantial populations of hard-to-count communities. For example, almost 40 percent of Santa Clara County's 1.9 million residents are foreign born. More than 25 percent of its population is Latinx and roughly seven percent is undocumented. Migration Policy Institute, *State and County Estimates of Unauthorized Immigrants* (Sep. 26, 2016), https://perma.cc/TM4S-SBFS; U.S. Census Bureau, QuickFacts: Santa Clara County, California, https://perma.cc/B5KH-2T8L. The City of New York's five boroughs are home to 3.1 million immigrants, who make up more than 37 percent of the City's population. NYC Dep't of City Planning, American Community Survey Data Demographic Profile and Social Profiles

(2018), https://perma.cc/G7XK-DQ43 . An estimated one million New Yorkers share a household
with one or more of the City's estimated 504,000 undocumented immigrants. NYC Mayor's Office
of Immigrant Affairs, State of Our Immigrant City: 2019, https://perma.cc/H8LZ-FCVH . Likewise,
Stockton, Oakland, and Sacramento are the three most diverse cities in California, and the Los
Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) serves a population that is over 73 percent Latinx. Rich
Ibarra, Stockton Named the Most Racially Diverse City in America, Capital Public Radio (Jan. 28,
2020), https://perma.cc/3GM3-Q2AH ; LAUSD, Student Demographics,
https://my.lausd.net/opendata/dashboard. A quarter of Dallas residents are foreign born, and more
than 40 percent of residents identify as Hispanic or Latinx. U.S. Census Bureau QuickFacts:
Dallas, Texas, https://perma.cc/TTC9-6KPA . And in the City of Portland, more than 20 percent of
the immigrant population is undocumented. New Americans in Portland, OR, New American
Economy (2020), https://perma.cc/FE59-HAUC .

Even before COVID-19, NRFU was especially critical to this year's census because it is the Census Bureau's most effective tool to reach and enumerate the hard-to-count populations most directly subjected to the climate of fear and distrust created by the Trump Administration's harsh immigration policies and previous attempts to politicize the census. The disruption wrought by the pandemic has made census field operations more time-consuming and challenging, and also made it even more difficult to reach and enumerate hard-to-count populations. Robust 2020 Census field operations are therefore critical to ensuring that everyone in Amici's jurisdictions is accurately counted, as the Constitution demands, and Amici have a particular interest in the legality of Defendants' decision to slash the time allotted to these mission-critical functions.

INTRODUCTION & SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The United States Constitution requires the federal government to count every person in the country once every decade. This constitutionally mandated decennial census provides the best—and in many cases, the only—data for governments to understand the size, location, and composition of their populations. Accurate census data is an irreplaceable and essential foundation for local governments across the country to perform the vital functions that support, protect, and

benefit their residents, including those that protect the most vulnerable members of the community. Census data informs life-saving public health and safety decisions; drives the allocation of federal funding for safety-net services and educational programs; and ensures that local and state electoral districts satisfy residents' basic constitutional right to equal representation. The importance of ensuring an accurate and complete 2020 Census cannot be overstated.

Defendants' decision to cut short the time period for 2020 Census operations is fundamentally and squarely inconsistent with producing accurate, complete, and high-quality census data. Even in normal times, such a decision would risk significant inaccuracy. But in the midst of a global pandemic, and with preexisting distrust and fear of responding in certain vulnerable communities, slashing the Bureau's essential data collection efforts effectively guarantees a disproportionate undercount of certain hard-to-count communities as well as irretrievably inaccurate data about local populations. Because Amici have larger hard-to-count populations than many other parts of the country, truncated field operations will be especially damaging to the completeness and accuracy of data about Amici's residents. Accordingly, Defendants' decision will to an outsize degree hamper—if not altogether prevent—Amici from effectively conducting essential operations and ensuring their residents equal representation.

ARGUMENT

- I. Accurate Census Data is the Foundation for Critical Government Functions
 - A. Local Governments Depend on Accurate Census Data for Life-Saving Planning and Services

Local governments across the country, including Amici, use census data every day throughout their operations to perform essential functions. By undermining the accuracy of census data about Amici's residents,³ Defendants' actions will frustrate a variety of critical government services for many years to come. Among the operations most severely and immediately affected

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA AND 19 ADDITIONAL LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND OFFICIALS ISO PLS.' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION Case No. 20-cv-5799-LHK

³ As described in Part II, *infra*, Defendants' decision will likely undermine the accuracy of both the census count (i.e., the number of people counted) and the demographic characteristics of counted households (e.g., the race, gender, and age of household members). Local jurisdictions depend on accurate enumeration and demographic census data, and inaccuracies as to either will undermine governments' ability to provide the critical services described in this Part.

will be emergency response and public health functions, where access to accurate data can mean the difference between lives saved and lives lost.

Local governments are often on the front lines of emergency preparedness and response. They are responsible for developing advance disaster plans that enable the immediate allocation of resources like first responders, evacuation assistance, and disease control measures—and for implementing those plans during emergencies. For instance, localities across the country, including Amici, have relied on census data to respond to COVID-19. And as each fire season in California brings more, and more intense, wildfires—such as those currently raging across large swaths of Santa Clara and neighboring counties—local governments must be prepared to organize and support evacuations and provide services for those most affected by such catastrophes. The same is true for localities affected by increasingly intense hurricane and tornado seasons. And in disasters of all kinds, local governments must identify vulnerable populations in need of emergency food stamps, temporary housing, and other disaster-related services.

None of this is possible without data about local populations. Disaster planning depends on having accurate data reflecting the composition and location of local communities—data that, in Amici's experience, is reliably produced *only* by the census. Social Vulnerability Indexes (the "Indexes") illustrate the importance of census data for disaster planning. These Indexes are used by governmental emergency managers at the federal, state, and local levels to identify communities whose members are "more likely to die in a disaster event and less likely to recover after one." B. Flanagan et al., *A Social Vulnerability Index for Disaster Management*, 8(1) J. Homeland Sec. & Emergency Mgmt. art. 3, at 3 (2011), https://perma.cc/TXN7-C7V3 (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). They guide resource allocation before and during emergencies to protect those most likely to need government intervention to survive and recover. *Id.*; *see also* Centers for Disease Control, *CDC's Social Vulnerability Index Fact Sheet* (Sept. 10, 2018), https://perma.cc/SV73-TC5R.

https://perma.cc/SV73-TC5R.

https://perma.cc/SV73-TC5R.

The Indexes use census data to identify regions with populations and features that emergency-management research has identified as indicators of increased need. Flanagan, supra, at 4. These indicators include the presence of the elderly and children, who are vulnerable during a disaster; dense housing; lack of vehicles; families below the poverty line; and minority and low-English-proficiency populations. *Id.* at 4–6. In an emergency, access to comprehensive census data on these populations and an Index that aggregates it is critical to allow local governments to quickly identify areas of need and respond effectively. See America Counts Staff, From COVID-19 to Hurricanes, Census Surveys Help Areas Prepare and Recover, U.S. Census Bureau (Apr. 9, 2020), https://perma.cc/P2WC-CUFN. In the event of a wildfire, for example, an Index helps first responders immediately target evacuation assistance by locating populations that may not be able to evacuate without assistance due to age, disability, or lack of vehicle access. See, e.g., Santa Clara County Community Wildfire Plan at 81 (Aug. 2016), https://perma.cc/2RN4-5XL2 (noting that it is crucial to identify carless populations, schools, and rest homes that may require significant assistance in evacuation). Similarly, in 2012, the City of New York relied on data from the decennial census to plan the evacuation and care of older residents in communities affected by Superstorm Sandy. The City used data regarding the concentration of residents 75 years of age and older to allocate generators for Department of Health evacuation centers, ensure physical accessibility of coastal storm shelters, and identify optimal placement of buses used to evacuate residents. Salvo, J, "Establishing Priorities for the Privacy Budget: The Case for Good Age Data, Emergency Preparedness and the 2010 Census Demonstration Product," Presentation at the National Academy of Sciences Workshop on 2020 Census Data Products: Data Needs and Privacy Considerations, December, 2019, https://perma.cc/T347-TM62. The City also uses age and other census-based data to target

21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26 27

28

neighborhood-based services for the elderly, to determine where to activate cooling centers during

City Environment & Health Data Portal, *Heat Vulnerability Index*, https://perma.cc/KM4K-VHY8.

heat waves, and to identify populations particularly vulnerable to heat waves. See id.; New York

Inaccurate census data, particularly regarding hard-to-count groups, could cost lives in an emergency because distrust of government in vulnerable populations makes it difficult for emergency managers to identify and reach those populations. See, e.g., A. Wolkin et al., Reducing Public Health Risk During Disasters: Identifying Social Vulnerabilities, 12 J. Homeland Sec. & Emergency Mgmt. 809–822 (Dec. 2015), https://perma.cc/8WN8-7AVM ("The most commonly cited barrier [to identifying at-risk populations] was difficulty with outreach to certain at-risk populations. There were several emergency managers who discussed the lack of willingness of some individuals and organizations to share information for various reasons, such as distrust of government...."). If Defendants' decision to cut short 2020 Census operations renders data about these socially vulnerable individuals and communities even less accurate and complete, they will be even less visible to first responders in critical moments.

Accurate census data is also vital to public health functions, including local governments' response to the COVID-19 pandemic. For several Amici, census data underlies the epidemiological analyses used to understand the spread of COVID-19 and inform mitigation efforts. For example,

Accurate census data is also vital to public health functions, including local governments' response to the COVID-19 pandemic. For several Amici, census data underlies the epidemiological analyses used to understand the spread of COVID-19 and inform mitigation efforts. For example, the County of Santa Clara relies on decennial census data—both directly and through the data the Census Bureau produces from the American Community Survey (ACS), which itself is based on decennial census data—to identify the County's most impacted zip codes and census tracts, deploy pop-up and mobile test sites, generate and distribute public messaging around preventative measures, understand structural factors contributing to transmission, and develop programs to address health inequities in the community. Other jurisdictions rely on census data in similar ways. For instance, New York City relies on census data to identify the relative incidence of COVID-19 among the City's neighborhoods and to identify vulnerable populations, such as the elderly, that need special assistance. Bucks County, Pennsylvania uses census data to ensure its staff assigned to contact tracing have the language skills necessary to effectively connect with affected communities. San Mateo County uses census data to determine how to allocate COVID-19 economic relief to immigrant populations. And Monterey County has used census data to allocate

Wi-Fi services to ensure that children without internet access can access online learning while schools remain closed due to COVID-19.

Census data informs other important local government functions as well, including housing development, law enforcement, school construction, and public services for vulnerable populations like the elderly. For example, several Amici rely on census data in planning for the housing needs of their residents. *See, e.g.*, County of Santa Clara Housing Element Update 2015-2022, at 58-91 (June 10, 2014), https://perma.cc/8MF5-WZ98; Portland Housing Bureau, *State of Housing in Portland* (2019), https://perma.cc/8MF5-WZ98; Portland Housing Bureau, *State of Housing in Portland* (2019), https://perma.cc/KSQ5-3ZTP (relying on census data to determine, *inter alia*, population trends, housing tenure, number of persons per household, and the racial, gender, and age composition of households). Likewise, LAUSD, like other school districts, relies on accurate census data to project future enrollment arising from planned residential construction. *See, e.g.*, Los Angeles Unified School District, 2018 Developer Fee Justification Study (Mar. 2018), https://perma.cc/D7VD-G52X. And the City of New York uses census data to analyze demographic changes to inform key decisions on changing school zones, building new schools, and expanding existing ones.

Census data is also important for improving racial equity in the criminal justice system. For example, the County of Santa Clara District Attorney's Office relies on census data to understand racial disparities in the County's criminal defendant population, and has used zip code-level data from the Census Bureau and the County's Public Health Department to develop policies that address the unique needs of those parts of the County most acutely affected by crime and criminal prosecution. *See* County of Santa Clara District Attorney's Office, *Race and Prosecutions: 2018 Update* at 1, 4-5, https://perma.cc/C2WD-UQ7N.

In all these cases, local governments require data that accurately reflects the population in order to serve it. An inaccurate 2020 Census means that there will likely be concentrations of individuals who are invisible to local government. This could significantly undercut local governments' ability to evacuate vulnerable individuals, slow or halt an epidemic, and provide other vital services. Nor is there any substitute for census data in performing these functions. In the

past, the County of Santa Clara attempted to supplement census data through the use of private demographers, but that effort failed because the private information simply was not reliable enough at the level of granularity required by local government operations. Moreover, like the ACS, private data is often based on the decennial census and therefore may not be a true alternative.

B. Local Governments Rely on Census-Based Funding to Provide Vital Social Safety-Net Services

According to the Census Bureau, in the 2015 fiscal year alone, census data was used to distribute more than \$675 billion in federal funds. M. Hotchkiss & J. Phelan, *U.S. Census Bureau*, *Uses of Census Bureau Data in Federal Funds Distribution* at 8 (Sept. 2017), https://perma.cc/
BQ32-MKM2. A large portion of this census-based funding goes to basic services like nutrition support and health care for the community's most vulnerable members, including victims of crime and children who are low-income or have been subjected to severe abuse and neglect. *Id.* at 16. Much of this funding flows through local governments, which are in many cases responsible for administering these programs.

A decennial census that differentially undercounts Amici's populations, or otherwise results in inaccurate data about them, will inappropriately reduce the funds allocated for these critical safety-net services. For example, several Amici administer programs that depend on tens of millions of dollars in federal funding, including for victims' services offices; Medicaid; Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF); the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC); Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention; foster care; and HUD grants to improve affordable housing, homeless shelters, and infrastructure. Many of these programs provide lifesaving benefits. WIC is one example; according to the federal government itself, "WIC saves lives" and "improves the health of nutritionally at-risk women, infants and children." U.S. Dep't of Ag., *About WIC-How WIC Helps* (Nov. 18, 2013), https://perma.cc/3FUF-UV4F. WIC provides food, nutrition education, breastfeeding promotion and support, referrals, and access to health and social services to low-income infants and their mothers. Similarly, TANF subsidizes food purchases by low-income families.

Unsurprisingly, access to such basic necessities has a profound impact on the health of recipients and the community as a whole. For example, access to WIC benefits reduces infant deaths, improves cognitive development in children, and increases the likelihood that children will have regular medical care and up-to-date immunizations. *Id.* All of this results in savings to the public as a whole. Children with these supports have the foundation to be healthy, productive members of their communities—and in the short term, WIC has been shown to nearly halve health care costs in the first 60 days after birth. *Id.*

Local governments also rely heavily on census-based funding, including child abuse prevention funds, foster care funds, and social services block grants, to operate foster care programs and initiatives to prevent child abuse. These programs identify and protect children who are victims of physical or sexual abuse or serious neglect. They provide support for children and families who can be safely kept together or reunited and safety for those who cannot. In some cases, connecting families to the nutrition benefits outlined above can keep children in their homes and avoid the trauma of family separation. And where child safety requires placement with a different family, local governments provide support to the foster families that provide children with stable and safe living environments. Like nutrition support, protecting children from abuse and providing safe homes promotes the health of the entire community by promoting the health and safety of its children. Census-based funding is critical to these efforts.

Schools likewise depend on census-based funding to support vulnerable student populations. For example, schools use Title I funds to provide services to students who might not be able to remain in school without support. Funding under Title II, Title IV, and the Child Care and Development Block Grant program also provide critical resources for school districts to serve low-income and special-needs students and thus support the goal of ensuring that every child has the opportunity to thrive in school. For LAUSD, census-based funding through these programs totaled more than \$367 million in 2017-2018. If funding is reduced, local schools that already face challenges in providing basic classroom services will probably need to further curtail or cut non-essential services. Inaccuracy and differential undercounts in the 2020 Census will drastically

impact critical educational funding for Amici's schools, with the consequences worse the greater the inaccuracy and undercount.

Census-based funding also supports law enforcement agencies and crime victims and witnesses. It funds offices that offer victims of domestic abuse, elder abuse, and child sexual abuse help with filing claims for compensation, advocacy within the criminal justice system, crisis counseling, and emergency financial assistance. The work of victims' services offices, which are partly supported by these funds, makes a significant difference in the lives of victims of crime.

Local governments have a near-universal charge to do more with less, and many struggle to meet their basic obligations. The disproportionate undercount and compromised data quality that will inevitably follow from Defendants' decision to shorten 2020 Census field operations will likely result in the loss of millions of dollars in federal funding allocated to Amici with no corresponding reduction in the level of services necessary to address community needs. By way of example, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania estimates that a five percent undercount of its residents could cost the county more than 72 million dollars in federal funding for schools, infrastructure, Medicaid, SNAP, housing choice vouchers, and other programs. Filling gaps left by reduced federal funding will mean fewer resources for other community needs. As a result, already-burdened local governments may be forced to reduce or eliminate services, causing significant harm to residents who need the most support.

C. Local Governments Need Accurate Census Data to Ensure Electoral Districts Advance Principles of Representative Democracy

Accurate census data is the linchpin to the constitutional guarantee of equal representation. Local governments across the country rely on census data to ensure equality of population among legislative districts. The Supreme Court has repeatedly observed that state and local governments must apportion their single-member districts so that each district has roughly the same population. These rules govern elections for state legislative seats, county boards of supervisors, and city councils. *Evenwel v. Abbott*, 136 S. Ct. 1120, 1124 (2016) (citing *Brown v. Thomson*, 462 U.S. 835, 842–43 (1983)); *Avery v. Midland Cty., Tex.*, 390 U.S. 474, 485–86 (1968) (single-member local government districts); *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533, 568 (1964) (state legislative districts).

The Enumeration Clause's articulation of "a strong constitutional interest in [the] accuracy" of the census, *Utah v. Evans*, 536 U.S. 452, 478 (2002), facilitates the Constitution's "one-person, one-vote principle," *Evenwel*, 136 S. Ct. at 1130, by furnishing the population data upon which to draw electoral districts.

But governments cannot draw lawful electoral districts if they do not know how many residents they serve and where those residents live. So inaccuracies in census data can introduce disparities in size among districts. These disparities prevent residents—voters and nonvoters alike—from having equal access to their local and state elected representatives, and corrupt "the fundamental principle of representative government in this country ... of equal representation for equal numbers of people," *Reynolds*, 377 U.S. at 560–61. Accordingly, truncating census field operations heightens the risk that inaccurate census data will undermine political representation, especially in jurisdictions like Amici with diverse and unevenly distributed populations.

II. Defendants' Decision to Slash the Time for Critical Census Follow-Up Efforts Will Cause a Differential Undercount and Undermine Census Data Quality

Defendants' decision to drastically curtail the time for 2020 Census data collection efforts will undermine the accuracy of the census count and compromise the quality of census data, thereby threatening the health, safety, and basic political rights of all of Amici's residents—and the residents of jurisdictions across the nation.

While the majority of households across the country self-respond to the census, the Census Bureau engages in in-person follow-up efforts, called Non-Response Follow-Up or NRFU, for those households that do not respond. The population requiring NRFU disproportionately includes people from hard-to-count communities, including racial and ethnic minorities, non-English speakers, undocumented immigrants, persons who distrust the government, and low-income persons. In the Census Bureau's own words, NRFU "is entirely about hard-to-count populations." U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 Census Operational Plan, at 212 (Dec. 2018) [hereinafter "2018 Operational Plan"], https://perma.cc/VH4Q-EKSU.

Even before the COVID-19 pandemic, the Census Bureau faced significant challenges enumerating some of these hard-to-count groups, including in Amici's jurisdictions. As a result of the Trump Administration's hostility towards immigrants and its efforts to politicize the census including its unsuccessful attempt to add a citizenship question to the 2020 Census, see Dep't of Commerce v. New York, 139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019), and its current efforts to exclude undocumented individuals from the population count used to apportion congressional seats⁴—immigrant and Latinx populations remain apprehensive about responding to the census. For example, communitybased organizations and county officials engaged in census education efforts in Santa Clara County and Monterey County have reported fear and distrust from residents of predominantly immigrant neighborhoods, including concerns about the privacy of census responses and the possibility that they could be used for immigration enforcement efforts. Similarly, New York City has responded to community members' expressed concerns, fears, and confusion by expanding efforts to encourage participation and dispel misinformation, including the misconception that undocumented immigrants do not have to be counted or will be tracked and deported if they complete the census. These communities' hesitation to self-respond underscores why a fulsome NRFU effort targeted at hard-to-count populations is critical for the 2020 Census to maximize the completeness and accuracy of the enumeration.

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated the difficulty of obtaining a complete and accurate count. Despite significant investment by several of Amici in raising community awareness about the 2020 Census, the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted planned outreach and educational efforts. The spread of COVID-19 forced the Census Bureau to suspend its field operations and adjust its enumeration efforts to balance the need for an accurate population count with protecting the health and safety of Census Bureau enumerators and the public. Of particular importance, the Census Bureau did not conduct its NRFU efforts between May 13 and July 31, 2020 (a period of 79 days) as originally planned. Instead, the Census Bureau announced on

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

²⁶²⁷

⁴ See City of San Jose v. Trump, No. 5:20-cv-05167-LHK (N.D. Cal. filed July 27, 2020); State of California v. Trump, No. 5:20-cv-05169-LHK (N.D. Cal. filed July 28, 2020).

April 13, 2020 that NRFU would instead be conducted between August 11 and October 31, 2020 (81 days). Crucially, while the NRFU period was moved back, the length of the NRFU period remained roughly the same. This made good sense: when it originally planned its NRFU operations, the Bureau anticipated that 39.5 percent of the population would not self-respond, and, as of August 3, 37 percent of the population indeed had not done so.

But now, Defendants have abruptly reversed course and decided to end all census data collection on September 30, 2020, and to do so Defendants have reduced the NRFU period by more than a third, from 81 days to 52. Census Bureau enumerators will now have to attempt to count roughly the same number of non-responding households as originally planned for in less than two-thirds the time. And they will have to accomplish this feat while the COVID-19 pandemic continues to ravage the country.

To add another layer to the problem, the challenges presented by COVID-19 have also frustrated attempts by local governments, including Amici, to support the Census Bureau's efforts—support that the Bureau had assumed would be robust when it allocated 11 weeks to NRFU. See, e.g., 2018 Operational Plan, supra, at 10, 18-21, 105, 206, 209-211. Yet because of the pandemic, traditional forms of local on-the-ground outreach—including person-to-person assistance and education through libraries and community-based organizations—have been replaced by social media, texting, and phone-banking. Curtailment of neighborhood canvassing, inperson events, and in-person communication makes the already challenging task of encouraging participation by hard-to-count communities even more difficult. These are further reasons that shortening the period for data collection is especially harmful under the circumstances of the pandemic.

Data from Amici's jurisdictions illustrate the challenges that enumerators will face in attempting to obtain a complete and accurate count during a drastically shortened NRFU period. For example, while Santa Clara County as a whole remains above the national self-response rate, several census tracts in the County have self-response rates below 50%—meaning that more than half the residents of those census tracts remain uncounted. *See* U.S. Census Bureau, *Response*

Rates https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates.html [hereinafter "Response Rates"]. The five			
hardest-to-count census tracts in the County—which include areas with significant foreign-born			
populations, limited English-speaking households, and high levels of poverty—each have 2020			
Census self-response rates that are significantly lower (in most cases 10 percentage points lower)			
than those tracts' 2010 Census self-response rates. See id.; California Census Office, Census 2020			
California Hard-to-Count Fact Sheet: Santa Clara County, https://perma.cc/55G8-RPKU . The			
City of Stockton has some of the hardest-to-count census tracts in California. See California			
Census Office, Census 2020 California Hard-To-Count Fact Sheet: Stockton City,			
https://perma.cc/PD7E-TD6C (identifying several tracts with hard-to-count index scores of 111 and			
above, as compared to the median California index value of 59). And as of August 30, 2020, the			
self-response rates in New York City (57.4 percent) and Dallas (56.3) similarly remain below the			
national self-response rate of 63.1 percent, particularly in parts of these cities with significant			
minority populations. In Dallas, for example, almost half of the residents of several districts have			
not self-responded to the 2020 Census. Similarly, in New York City's predominantly Black			
neighborhoods, average self-response rates are more than five percentage points below the City's			
average, and certain largely Hispanic neighborhoods have self-response rates of less than 42			
percent. NYC Dep't of City Planning, Weekly Reports on NYC 2020 Census Self-			
Response, https://perma.cc/AWH5-JSR3 (August 6 Report, at 6); https://perma.cc/Q6UY-H7AS			
(June 4 Report, at 5). These disparities threaten to produce a significant differential and absolute			
undercount, as these two groups together constitute more than half the City's population.			
Reaching hard-to-count groups during a truncated NRFU process is further complicated by			
the fact that COVID-19 has disproportionately affected vulnerable residents for whom NRFU is			

Reaching hard-to-count groups during a truncated NRFU process is further complicated by the fact that COVID-19 has disproportionately affected vulnerable residents for whom NRFU is especially important. For example, poor and Latinx neighborhoods in Santa Clara County have been the hardest hit by COVID-19, and many of the census tracts in these zip codes have significantly lower self-response rates than more affluent parts of the county. See Response Rates, supra; Leonardo Castañeda and David Debolt, Death reports show coronavirus hits San Jose's poor, Latino neighborhoods hardest, San Jose Mercury News (May 10, 2020), https://perma.cc/

<u>9QVJ-CYJ3</u>. High levels of COVID-19 infection and death create additional hurdles to conducting an accurate count of communities with large immigrant populations in mixed-status families, irregular housing, and low self-response. These hurdles necessitate tremendous efforts on the part of the Census Bureau, efforts that are challenging at best, and close to impossible under Defendants' sharp truncating of field operations.

By giving census enumerators even less time to contact the hard-to-count households that are disproportionately represented in the NRFU universe, even though those households are often the most affected by COVID-19, Defendants' decision will cause the Census Bureau to fail to count even more hard-to-count households. When households do not self-respond or respond directly to NRFU efforts—efforts cut short by Defendants' decision—the Census Bureau attempts to count them by relying on proxies (such as neighbors and landlords), administrative records, and statistical imputation. But these secondary data sources are substantially less accurate than households' own self-response or NRFU response. The Bureau's increased reliance on these secondary data sources produces at least two distinct harms to census data. First, by rushing NRFU and forcing the Census Bureau to resort to significantly less reliable enumeration methods, Defendants' decision will result in a differential undercount. Second, even in the unlikely event that the Bureau is somehow able to accurately determine the *number* of people in hard-to-count

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

⁵ For example, proxies are significantly less likely to accurately report information about an uncounted household. Thomas Mule, U.S. Census Bureau, DSSD 2010 Census Coverage Measurement Memorandum Series 2010-G-01 at 30, Table 21 (May 22, 2012), https://perma.cc/9X7G-MJJQ (during NRFU, proxies correctly report number of household members just 70 percent of the time, compared to household members' 93 percent correct response rate). And reliance on administrative records would also differentially undercount marginalized populations, including racial and ethnic minorities, because these populations are among the least likely to have sufficient administrative records. Sonya Rastogi and Amy O'Hara, 2010 Census Match Study, U.S. Census Bureau at 47 (Nov. 16, 2012), https://perma.cc/GX9X-RF5D (higher percentage of non-Hispanic than Hispanic households had matching administrative records data, and thus relying on administrative records could "produce undercounts for various race, Hispanic origin, and age groups"). Finally, since statistical imputation uses data from neighboring households to predict the number and characteristics of an unenumerated household, error is introduced because the demographic characteristics of households that do not respond are unknown and may differ substantially from the characteristics reported by households that do. For example, despite the use of statistical imputation in the 2010 Census, there were still statistically significant undercounts of Blacks, Hispanics, and Native Americans. Mule, supra, at 16, Table 8.

households that remain uncounted after NRFU, there are likely to be significant inaccuracies in the recorded characteristics (e.g., age, gender, race) of those households, undermining the accuracy of census demographic data upon which local governments rely.

Defendants' decision entirely fails to contend with these plainly foreseeable consequences. The decision offers no justification for shortening 2020 Census operations, other than the need to meet a deadline that Census Bureau officials have already stated they cannot meet while fulfilling the Bureau's basic constitutional duty. It does not even acknowledge the Bureau's deeply researched prior plan to commit more than 11 weeks to NRFU, which the Bureau had preserved in its April 13 decision to suspend field operations and postpone the NRFU period to August. And it cites no evidence that the Bureau can obtain an accurate count on a shortened timeframe, despite its prior conclusion that it could not. Defendants' absence of reasoned justification, lack of explanation for the departure from the Bureau's prior position, and failure to consider important aspects of enumerating hard-to-count communities during a pandemic on a drastically shortened timeframe is arbitrary, capricious, and counterproductive.

Defendants' decision to rush the 2020 Census count by pursuing a course that will likely bring about a differential undercount is also fundamentally inconsistent with the constitutional mandate of a decennial census. As the Supreme Court has held, the Enumeration Clause of the U.S. Constitution reflects a "strong interest in accuracy" of the Census. *Utah v. Evans*, 536 U.S. at 478. The Secretary of Commerce's discretionary authority over the conduct of the census is not boundless: he must exercise it in a manner that bears "a reasonable relationship to the accomplishment of an actual enumeration of the population." *Wisconsin v. City of New York*, 517 U.S. 1, 20 (1996). Abandoning the Census Bureau's well thought-out COVID-19 operational adjustments in order to rush to complete data collection is anathema to this constitutional directive. Defendants' decision will worsen the accuracy of the census data collected, and by cutting NRFU short, will specifically exacerbate the undercounting of hard-to-count populations, including immigrant and Latinx communities. The consequences of this differential undercount will

- 17 -

	11		
1	reverberate to all residents, harming their fundamental right to equal representation and disrupting		
2	local governments' ability to deliver necessary services.		
3	CONCLUSION		
4	For the foregoing reasons, A	Amici Curiae respectfully request that this Court grant Plaintiffs'	
5	motion for a preliminary injunction	ı .	
6			
7	DATED: August 31, 2020	Respectfully submitted,	
8		COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA JAMES R. WILLIAMS,	
10		County Counsel	
11		By: <u>/s/ Raphael N. Rajendra</u> Raphael N. Rajendra Deputy County Counsel	
12			
13		Greta S. Hansen Karun Tilak	
14		Marcelo Quinoñes 70 West Hedding Street	
15		East Wing, 9th Floor San Jose, California 95110	
16		Tel. (408) 299-5900	
17		Fax (408) 292-7240 Greta.Hansen@cco.sccgov.org	
18		Raphael.Rajendra@cco.sccgov.org Marcelo.Quinones@cco.sccgov.org	
19		Karun.Tilak@cco.sccgov.org	
20		Attorneys for County of Santa Clara,	
21		California	
22			
23	Addi	itional Counsel for Amici Curiae	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		- 18 -	

- 1		
1	BRIAN E. WASHINGTON	LESLIE J. GIRARD
2	County Counsel County of Marin	Monterey County Counsel Office of the County Counsel
3	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275	County of Monterey
	San Rafael, CA 94903	168 West Alisal St., 3rd Fl.
4	Tel. (415) 473-6117	Salinas, CA 93901 Tel. (831) 755-5045
5	Attorney for County of Marin, California	Fax (831) 755-5283
6		Attorney for County of Monterey, California
7		
8	JOHN C. BEIERS	JESSICA M. SCHELLER
9	County Counsel	Chief; Advice, Business &
9	San Mateo County	Complex Litigation Division
10	400 County Center, Sixth Floor Redwood City, CA 94063	Cook County State's Attorney's Office 500 W. Richard J. Daley Center
	Tel. (650) 363-4775	Chicago, IL 60602
11	Fax (650) 363-4773	Tel. (312) 603-4320
12		. ,
13	Attorney for County of San Mateo, California	Attorney for Cook County, Illinois
1.4	JOSEPH J. KHAN	WILLIAM F. MARTIN
14	County Solicitor	County Solicitor
15	County of Bucks – Law Department	County of Delaware, Pennsylvania
	55 E. Court St., Fifth Floor	Government Center, 2nd Floor
16	Doylestown, PA 18901	201 W. Front Street
1.7	Tel. (215) 348-6464	Media, PA 19063
17		Tel. (610) 891-4074
18	Attorney for County of Bucks, Pennsylvania	
19		Attorney for County of Delaware, Pennsylvania
		•
20	JOSHUA M. STEIN	BARBARA J. PARKER
21	County Solicitor	City Attorney
22	Montgomery County Solicitor's Office	Office of the Oakland City Attorney
22	One Montgomery Plaza	One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Eighth Floor
23	Suite 800	Oakland, CA 94612
	Norristown, PA 19401	Tel. (510) 238-6623
24	Tel. (610) 278-3033	Fax (510) 238-6500
25	Attorney for County of Montgomery,	Attorney for City of Oakland, California
26	Pennsylvania	
27		
28		10

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA AND 19 ADDITIONAL LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND OFFICIALS ISO PLS.' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION Case No. 20-cv-5799-LHK

1	CUCANA ALCALA WOOD	ANTHONY D. CONDOTTI C'4- A44-
2	SUSANA ALCALA WOOD City Attorney of the City of Sacramento	ANTHONY P. CONDOTTI, City Attorney Atchison, Barisone, & Condotti,
3	915 I Street, Fourth Floor	A Professional Corporation
3	Sacramento, CA 95814	PO Box 481
4	Tel. (916) 808-5346	Santa Cruz, CA 95061
5	Fax (916) 808-7455	tcondotti@abc-law.com
6	Attorney for City of Sacramento, California	Attorney for City of Santa Cruz, California
7		
′	EUGENE L. O'FLAHERTY	DANA P. MOORE
8	City of Boston Corporation Counsel	Acting City Solicitor
9	City of Boston Law Department City Hall, Room 615	Baltimore City Law Department 100 N. Holliday St., Suite 101
	Boston, MA 02210	Baltimore, MD 21146
10	Tel. (617) 635-4034	Tel. (410) 396-3100
11	Attorney for City of Boston, Massachusetts	Attorney for City of Baltimore, Maryland
12		
13	JAMES E. JOHNSON	TRACY REEVE
13	Corporation Counsel of the City of New York 100 Church Street	City Attorney for the City of Portland, Oregon 1221 SW Fourth Avenue, Room 430
14	New York, NY 10007	Portland, OR 97204
15	Phone: (212) 360-5043	Tel. (503) 823-4047
13		Fax (503) 823-3089
16	Attorney for City of New York, New York	
17		Attorney for City of Portland, Oregon
1 /		
18	ANNE L. MORGAN	CHRISTOPHER J. CASO SBN 03969230
19	City Attorney of the City of Austin	Dallas City Attorney
	P.O. Box 1546	City Attorney's Office
20	Austin, TX 78701-1546	1500 Marilla Street, Room 7DN
21	Tel. (512) 974-2268	Dallas, TX 75201 Tel. (214) 670-3519
	Attorney for City of Austin, Texas	Fax (214) 670-0622
22	into mey for city of mustin, resus	1 u. (211) 070 0022
23		Attorney for City of Dallas, Texas
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		20 -

1		
2	PETER S. HOLMES	DAVID HOLMQUIST
3	Seattle City Attorney 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050	General Counsel Los Angeles Unified School District
4	Seattle, WA 98104-7097 Tel. (206) 684-8200	333 South Beaudry Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90017
5		Tel. (213) 241-7600
6	Attorney for City of Seattle, Washington	Attorney for Los Angeles Unified School
7		District
8	MICHAEL TUBBS	
9	Mayor of the City of Stockton, CA 425 N. El Dorado Street	
10	Stockton, CA 95202	
11	Tel. (209) 937-8499	
12	Mayor of City of Stockton, California	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		21