

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
 Steven M. Bauer (Bar No. 135067)  
 steven.bauer@lw.com  
 Sadik Huseny (Bar No. 224659)  
 sadik.huseny@lw.com  
 Amit Makker (Bar No. 280747)  
 amit.makker@lw.com  
 Shannon D. Lankenau (Bar No. 294263)  
 shannon.lankenau@lw.com  
 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: 415.391.0600  
 Facsimile: 415.395.8095

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
 Richard P. Bress (*pro hac vice*)  
 rick.bress@lw.com  
 Melissa Arbus Sherry (*pro hac vice*)  
 melissa.sherry@lw.com  
 Anne W. Robinson (*pro hac vice*)  
 anne.robinson@lw.com  
 Tyce R. Walters (*pro hac vice*)  
 tyce.walters@lw.com  
 Genevieve P. Hoffman (*pro hac vice*)  
 genevieve.hoffman@lw.com  
 Gemma Donofrio (*pro hac vice*)  
 gemma.donofrio@lw.com  
 555 Eleventh Street NW, Suite 1000  
 Washington, D.C. 20004  
 Telephone: 202.637.2200  
 Facsimile: 202.637.2201

LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR  
 CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER LAW  
 Kristen Clarke (*pro hac vice* pending)  
 kclarke@lawyerscommittee.org  
 Jon M. Greenbaum (Bar No. 166733)  
 jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org  
 Ezra D. Rosenberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org  
 Dorian L. Spence (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
 dspence@lawyerscommittee.org  
 Ajay P. Saini (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 asaini@lawyerscommittee.org  
 Maryum Jordan (Bar No. 325447)  
 mjordan@lawyerscommittee.org  
 Pooja Chaudhuri (Bar No. 314847)  
 pchaudhuri@lawyerscommittee.org  
 1500 K Street NW, Suite 900  
 Washington, D.C. 20005  
 Telephone: 202.662.8600  
 Facsimile: 202.783.0857

*Additional counsel and representation  
 information listed in signature block*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE, et al.,  
  
 Plaintiffs,  
  
 v.  
  
 WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., et al.,  
  
 Defendants.

CASE NO. 5:20-cv-05799-LHK

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
 TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER  
 PENDING RULING ON PLAINTIFFS'  
 MOTION TO COMPEL AND FOR  
 SANCTIONS**

Date: TBD  
 Time: TBD  
 Place: Courtroom 8  
 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1 As the Court knows, two minutes prior to the start of the Court’s September 28 hearing, the  
 2 Census Bureau tweeted that “[t]he Department of Commerce has announced a target date of  
 3 October 5, 2020 to conclude the 2020 Census self-response and field data collection operations.”  
 4 @USCensusBureau, <https://twitter.com/uscensusbureau/status/1310685274104569856>.

5 For the reasons set forth in Plaintiffs’ Statement In Advance of the September 29 Hearing  
 6 (Dkt. 243), and for the reasons discussed at the September 29, 2020 hearing, Plaintiffs believe that  
 7 this announcement and other materials before the Court show that Defendants have been  
 8 implementing and continue to implement the shortened timelines from the Replan in violation of  
 9 the Court’s Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Stay and Preliminary Injunction (“PI Order”)  
 10 (Dkt. 208). Plaintiffs have now filed a Motion to Compel and for Sanctions which lays out these  
 11 violations in greater detail and which will be briefed on an expedited schedule and heard before the  
 12 Court at 3:00 PM this Friday, October 2. But Plaintiffs are concerned that there is a critical two-  
 13 day window between now and October 2 where Defendants will continue to wind down or alter  
 14 Census operations, or otherwise engage in closeout proceedings, including but not limited to  
 15 termination of various Census field personnel. Those actions, pegged to Defendants’ current  
 16 October 5 field operations “target date” which, in turn, is predicated on Defendants continuing to  
 17 implement the December 31, 2020 Replan date enjoined by the Court, are happening now and  
 18 threaten severe irreparable injury. As a result, Plaintiffs file this separate motion requesting a short  
 19 TRO to preserve the status quo—that is, the dates set out in the COVID-19 plan—until the Court  
 20 rules on Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel and for Sanctions. As the Ninth Circuit put it in denying the  
 21 Bureau’s Motion for Administrative Stay, “[g]iven the extraordinary importance of the census, it is  
 22 imperative that the Bureau conduct the census in a manner that is most likely to produce a  
 23 workable report in which the public can have confidence.” Dkt. 277 at 7-8.

24 Plaintiffs have made numerous submissions regarding the severe irreparable injury faced  
 25 by Plaintiffs and the nation should Defendants be allowed to truncate and prematurely wind up  
 26 Census field operations—and the Court has agreed, granting Plaintiffs’ initial TRO, granting an  
 27 extended TRO when Defendants failed to comply with the Court’s orders, and ultimately granting  
 28 Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Stay and Preliminary Injunction (“PI Order”). *See* Dkt. Nos. 84, 142, 208.

1 The Court also stated at the September 29 hearing that it believed, based on the evidence before it  
 2 at that time, that Defendants are currently in violation of the Court's PI Order:

3 From what I can see of what I've looked at, the Defendants are implementing  
 4 that December 31<sup>st</sup> deadline by creating this target date of October 5<sup>th</sup>, and I  
 think that's been enjoined. And I think a target date for data collection that is  
 predicated on an enjoined date is a violation of my order.

5 9/29 Tr. at 31:7-12. Thus, Plaintiffs believe that all of the elements necessary for a temporary  
 6 restraining order have already been definitively established. But three additional points bear  
 7 specific mention.

8 *First*, the statements made by Defendants at the September 29 hearing demonstrate that  
 9 Defendants do not see the Court's PI Order as limiting in any sense their ability to alter or wind-  
 10 down field operations now in connection with their announced October 5 "target date." This is  
 11 because Defendants feel they should be allowed to terminate such operations now, notwithstanding  
 12 the Court's orders, ostensibly as "contingency planning" should (as Defendants hope) the Court's  
 13 order later be overturned. Defendants were perfectly clear:

14 Our position is that contingency planning for something that might happen in  
 the future is not a violation of this Order. So I'll make that perfectly clear.  
 15 Contingency planning for the reimposition of the December 31<sup>st</sup> date, which  
 is a very real possibility and it's something that the Commerce Department  
 has to think about, is not a violation of the order.

16 9/29 Tr. at 31:25-32:5. This is astonishingly wrong. A contingency plan is just that—contingent.  
 17 Thus, it would only operate if a predicate were met. Defendants have stated that the October 5  
 18 date, put in place to meet the enjoined date of December 31, 2020—is their *actual* plan right now;  
 19 it is not contingent on anything. Defendants' statement about "contingency planning" means that  
 20 they have unilaterally decided to make *compliance with the Court's PI Order the contingency*  
 21 *plan*. That they cannot do. But it makes clear that Defendants have no intention of voluntarily  
 22 coming into compliance with this Court's order before Friday's hearing.

23 *Second*, yesterday evening Defendants submitted an unredacted document that shows  
 24 Plaintiffs were right to worry that Defendants' one-sentence tweet and press release meant a  
 25 continuation of the truncated timelines enjoined by the Court. As the Court knows, Defendants  
 26 mentioned nothing about the enjoined December 31, 2020 deadline when sending out their  
 27 message about the new "target date" to end field operations. And as highlighted above, the Court  
 28

flagged that its review of materials before it indicated that Defendants were “implementing that December 31<sup>st</sup> deadline by creating this target date of October 5<sup>th</sup>, and I think that’s been enjoined.” 9/29 Tr. at 31:8-10. The redacted and now unredacted email exchange with the Secretary of Commerce is stark:

On Sep 28, 2020, at 4:30 PM, Ron S Jarmin (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED)  
<Ron.S.Jarmin@census.gov> wrote:

Yes sir, we need to finish field work on 10/5 if we are to have enough time (and assuming all goes well) to finish the processing of the resident population, federally affiliated overseas and, DPP

Thanks

Ron S Jarmin, PhD., Deputy Director

U.S. Census Bureau  
o: 301-763-1858 | m: [REDACTED]  
[census.gov](mailto:census.gov) | [@uscensusbureau](mailto:@uscensusbureau)  
[Shape your future. START HERE > 2020census.gov](#)

From: Wilbur Ross [REDACTED]  
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2020 3:52 PM  
To: Ron S Jarmin (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED) <Ron.S.Jarmin@census.gov>; Albert E Fontenot (CENSUS/ADDC FED) <Albert.E.Fontenot@census.gov>; James T Christy (CENSUS/LA FED) <James.T.Christy@census.gov>; Timothy P Olson (CENSUS/ADFO FED) <Timothy.P.Olson@census.gov>; Enrique Lamas (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED) <Enrique.Lamas@census.gov>  
Cc: Kelley, Karen (Federal) <KKelley@doc.gov>; Steven Dillingham (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED)

look.office365.com/mail/search/id/AAMkADUzMmZjZmE2LTJkMGUtdNDhiYi... 9/

Case 5:20-cv-05799-LHK Document 233 Filed 09/29/20 Page 153 of 153

<steven.dillingham@census.gov>; Walsh, Michael (Federal) <MWalsh@doc.gov>  
Subject: Thank you and question

Thank you for the excellent briefing this afternoon. As I prepare to make the decision, I would like to make sure that I understood correctly that your team’s opinion is that DPP

Please confirm at your earliest convenience as I understand DPP  
Thank you again.

On Sep 28, 2020, at 4:30 PM, Ron S Jarmin (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED)  
<Ron.S.Jarmin@census.gov> wrote:

Yes sir, we need to finish field work on 10/5 if we are to have enough time (and assuming all goes well) to finish the processing of the resident population, federally affiliated overseas and, if requested, unlawful aliens in ICE Detention Centers by 12/31. Other PM related outputs would be pushed to 1/11/2021.

Thanks

Ron S Jarmin, PhD., Deputy Director

U.S. Census Bureau  
o: 301-763-1858 | m: [REDACTED]  
[census.gov](mailto:census.gov) | [@uscensusbureau](mailto:@uscensusbureau)  
[Shape your future. START HERE > 2020census.gov](#)

From: Wilbur Ross [REDACTED]  
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2020 3:52 PM  
To: Ron S Jarmin (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED) <Ron.S.Jarmin@census.gov>; Albert E Fontenot (CENSUS/ADDC FED) <Albert.E.Fontenot@census.gov>; James T Christy (CENSUS/LA FED) <James.T.Christy@census.gov>; Timothy P Olson (CENSUS/ADFO FED) <Timothy.P.Olson@census.gov>; Enrique Lamas (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED) <Enrique.Lamas@census.gov>  
Cc: Kelley, Karen (Federal) <KKelley@doc.gov>; Steven Dillingham (CENSUS/DEPDIR FED)

look.office365.com/mail/search/id/AAMkADUzMmZjZmE2LTJkMGUtdNDhiYi... 9/

Case 5:20-cv-05799-LHK Document 233 Filed 09/29/20 Page 153 of 153

<steven.dillingham@census.gov>; Walsh, Michael (Federal) <MWalsh@doc.gov>  
Subject: Thank you and question

Thank you for the excellent briefing this afternoon. As I prepare to make the decision, I would like to make sure that I understood correctly that your team’s opinion is that if we stay in the field beyond October 5, we would not be able to meet the statutory deadline of December 31. Please confirm at your earliest convenience as I understand you would like to make an announcement today. Thank you again.

Compare Dkt. 233 at 152-53 with Dkt. 256-1 at 1-2. Ending field operations early so that Defendants can implement the Replan’s December 31 deadline plainly violates the Court’s order.

Third, it cannot now be disputed that Defendants have failed to fully and adequately notify their employees of the Court’s prior orders in this case. As set forth in Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel and for Sanctions, Defendants’ outreach and notification regarding the Court’s TRO, TRO extension, and PI Order was focused at the manager level, and as far as Plaintiffs are aware,

1 there was no follow-up and no confirmation or indication that the message was actually  
 2 disseminated to all Census employees. *See* Dkt. 265 at 3-5. The Court began to receive first a  
 3 trickle and then a flood of emails and filings from Census employees complaining, among other  
 4 things, that they were not being told about the Court’s Orders, and that the Census Bureau was  
 5 not in compliance. *See, e.g.*, Dkt Nos. 100, 214, 220, 221, 222, 229, 230, 231, 235, 238, 248,  
 6 249, 250, 252, 254, 257, 262, 268, 270, 271, 272, 273, 276. Yet, in marked contrast to how  
 7 Defendants chose to disseminate this Court’s orders, Defendants widely broadcast their new  
 8 October 5 “target date” with great precision. Just hours after the tweet, Mr. Christy “instructed  
 9 staff to send a text message to *all* Decennial field staff (Enumerators and CFSs) that read:

10 A federal district court issued a preliminary injunction on 9/24. The  
 11 Census Bureau is complying with the Court’s Order which moves the  
 12 finishing date for NRFU operations after September 30. The Secretary  
 announced today that NRFU operations will finish on October 5. We will  
 post updated guidance on the content locker.

13 Dkt. 234 (Christy Decl. ¶ 14). As far as Plaintiffs are aware, despite the discussion at the  
 14 September 29 hearing, Defendants have done nothing to retract their text telling all Census field  
 15 staff that data collection “will” end on October 5.<sup>1</sup>

16 \* \* \*

17 In light of the foregoing, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court issue a TRO  
 18 enjoining Defendants from any actions that are a result of or related to (1) the Replan’s enjoined  
 19 December 31, 2020 date for reporting the tabulation of the total population to the President, or  
 20 (2) any data collection or data processing timelines that are shorter than those contained in the  
 21 COVID-19 Plan. Plaintiffs also respectfully request that, in light of Defendants’ demonstrated  
 22 failure to adequately notify all of their employees of the Court’s Orders, the Court direct  
 23 Defendants to issue a new text message to all of their employees notifying them of the Court’s  
 24 ruling, stating that the October 5 date is not operative, and stating that field data collection  
 25 operations remain ongoing—and provide a copy of that text to the Court once sent.

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
 27 <sup>1</sup> In addition, as noted in Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel and for Sanctions, if the October 5 date is  
 28 not enjoined, personnel may deem households to be “complete” through the use of much-less-  
 accurate methods of enumeration in order to meet the October 5 deadline—creating the  
 misleading impression that an accurate enumeration has already been met.

1  
2 Dated: September 30, 2020

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

3 By: /s/ Sadik Huseny  
4 Sadik Huseny

5 Steven M. Bauer (Bar No. 135067)  
6 steven.bauer@lw.com  
7 Sadik Huseny (Bar No. 224659)  
8 sadik.huseny@lw.com  
9 Amit Makker (Bar No. 280747)  
10 amit.makker@lw.com  
11 Shannon D. Lankenau (Bar. No. 294263)  
12 shannon.lankenau@lw.com  
13 **LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**  
14 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
15 San Francisco, CA 94111  
16 Telephone: 415.391.0600  
17 Facsimile: 415.395.8095

18 Richard P. Bress (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
19 rick.bress@lw.com  
20 Melissa Arbus Sherry (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
21 melissa.sherry@lw.com  
22 Anne W. Robinson (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
23 anne.robinson@lw.com  
24 Tyce R. Walters (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
25 tyce.walters@lw.com  
26 Genevieve P. Hoffman (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
27 genevieve.hoffman@lw.com  
28 Gemma Donofrio (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
29 gemma.donofrio@lw.com  
30 **LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**  
31 555 Eleventh Street NW, Suite 1000  
32 Washington, D.C. 20004  
33 Telephone: 202.637.2200  
34 Facsimile: 202.637.2201

35 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs National Urban League;*  
36 *League of Women Voters; Black Alliance for*  
37 *Just Immigration; Harris County, Texas; King*  
38 *County, Washington; City of San Jose,*  
39 *California; Rodney Ellis; Adrian Garcia; and*  
40 *the NAACP*

41 Dated: September 30, 2020

42 By: /s/ Jon M. Greenbaum  
43 Kristen Clarke (*pro hac vice* pending)  
44 kclarke@lawyerscommittee.org  
45 Jon M. Greenbaum (Bar No. 166733)  
46 jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org  
47 Ezra D. Rosenberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
48 erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org  
49 Dorian L. Spence (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

dspence@lawyerscommittee.org  
Maryum Jordan (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
mjordan@lawyerscommittee.org  
Ajay Saini (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
asaini@lawyerscommittee.org  
Pooja Chaudhuri (Bar No. 314847)  
pchaudhuri@lawyerscommittee.org  
**LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL  
RIGHTS UNDER LAW**  
1500 K Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: 202.662.8600  
Facsimile: 202.783.0857

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs National Urban League;  
City of San Jose, California; Harris County,  
Texas; League of Women Voters; King County,  
Washington; Black Alliance for Just  
Immigration; Rodney Ellis; Adrian Garcia; the  
NAACP; and Navajo Nation*

Wendy R. Weiser (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
weiserw@brennan.law.nyu.edu  
Thomas P. Wolf (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
wolf@brennan.law.nyu.edu  
Kelly M. Percival (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
percivalk@brennan.law.nyu.edu  
**BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE**  
120 Broadway, Suite 1750  
New York, NY 10271  
Telephone: 646.292.8310  
Facsimile: 212.463.7308

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs National Urban League;  
City of San Jose, California; Harris County,  
Texas; League of Women Voters; King County,  
Washington; Black Alliance for Just  
Immigration; Rodney Ellis; Adrian Garcia; the  
NAACP; and Navajo Nation*

Mark Rosenbaum (Bar No. 59940)  
mrosenbaum@publiccounsel.org  
**PUBLIC COUNSEL**  
610 South Ardmore Avenue  
Los Angeles, California 90005  
Telephone: 213.385.2977  
Facsimile: 213.385.9089

*Attorneys for Plaintiff City of San Jose*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Doreen McPaul, Attorney General  
dmcpaul@nndoj.org  
Jason Searle (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
jasearle@nndoj.org  
**NAVAJO NATION DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**  
P.O. Box 2010  
Window Rock, AZ 86515  
Telephone: (928) 871-6345

*Attorneys for Navajo Nation*

Dated: September 30, 2020

By: /s/ Danielle Goldstein  
Michael N. Feuer (Bar No. 111529)  
mike.feuer@lacity.org  
Kathleen Kenealy (Bar No. 212289)  
kathleen.kenealy@lacity.org  
Danielle Goldstein (Bar No. 257486)  
danielle.goldstein@lacity.org  
Michael Dundas (Bar No. 226930)  
mike.dundas@lacity.org  
**CITY ATTORNEY FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES**  
200 N. Main Street, 8th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Telephone: 213.473.3231  
Facsimile: 213.978.8312

*Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Los Angeles*

Dated: September 30, 2020

By: /s/ Michael Mutalipassi  
Christopher A. Callihan (Bar No. 203010)  
legalwebmail@ci.salinas.ca.us  
Michael Mutalipassi (Bar No. 274858)  
michaelmu@ci.salinas.ca.us  
**CITY OF SALINAS**  
200 Lincoln Avenue  
Salinas, CA 93901  
Telephone: 831.758.7256  
Facsimile: 831.758.7257

*Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Salinas*



1 Dated: September 30, 2020

By: /s/ Rafey S. Balabanian  
Rafey S. Balabanian (Bar No. 315962)  
rbalabanian@edelson.com  
Lily E. Hough (Bar No. 315277)  
lthough@edelson.com  
**EDELSON P.C.**  
123 Townsend Street, Suite 100  
San Francisco, CA 94107  
Telephone: 415.212.9300  
Facsimile: 415.373.9435

7 Rebecca Hirsch (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
rebecca.hirsch2@cityofchicago.org  
8 **CORPORATION COUNSEL FOR THE**  
9 **CITY OF CHICAGO**  
Mark A. Flessner  
Stephen J. Kane  
10 121 N. LaSalle Street, Room 600  
Chicago, IL 60602  
11 Telephone: (312) 744-8143  
12 Facsimile: (312) 744-5185

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Chicago*

14 Dated: September 30, 2020

By: /s/ Donald R. Pongrace  
Donald R. Pongrace (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
dpongance@akingump.com  
16 **AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD**  
17 **LLP**  
2001 K St., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
18 Telephone: (202) 887-4000  
19 Facsimile: 202-887-4288

20 Dario J. Frommer (Bar No. 161248)  
dfrommer@akingump.com  
21 **AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD**  
22 **LLP**  
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 600  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-6022  
23 Phone: 213.254.1270  
24 Fax: 310.229.1001

25 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Gila River Indian*  
26 *Community*

1  
2 Dated: September 30, 2020

By: /s/ David I. Holtzman  
David I. Holtzman (Bar No. 299287)  
David.Holtzman@hklaw.com  
**HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP**  
Daniel P. Kappes  
Jacqueline N. Harvey  
50 California Street, 28th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 743-6970  
Fax: (415) 743-6910

*Attorneys for Plaintiff County of Los Angeles*

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 **ATTESTATION**

11 I, Sadik Huseny, am the ECF user whose user ID and password authorized the filing of this  
12 document. Under Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest that all signatories to this document have concurred  
13 in this filing.

14 Dated: September 30, 2020

**LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**

15 By: /s/ Sadik Huseny  
16 Sadik Huseny  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28